

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/869,056	06/25/2001	Hideki Kanemoto	L9289.01155	9875	
7590 07/07/2004 Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher			EXAMINER		
			SHARMA, SUJATHA R		
1615 L Street NW Suite 850 Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2684	¥	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany							
		09/869,056	KANEMOTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sujatha Sharma	2684				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	6/25/2001.					
	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received i e priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage	;			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ □ Intonio	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	the of References Cited (PTO-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date 6.	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/869,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Bergkvist [US 5,822,696] in view of Kransmo [US 6,597,911].

Regarding claims 1,5, Bergkvist discloses a method of selecting a communications channel based on handover intensity. Bergkvist further discloses a method wherein a mobile communication terminal comprises:

- A comparison selector for performing a comparison selection operation
 where the base station with the best received quality is. See column 5, lines
 53-59
- A measure for measuring the switching frequency of handovers at a predetermined interval. See col. 5, lines 59-62

Application/Control Number: 09/869,056

Art Unit: 2684

Said comparison selector reduces the frequency of comparison selection
 (meaning reduces the number of handovers) when the switching frequency is equal to or greater than a pre-determined threshold. See col. 6, lines 32-40

 rist does not disclose a method of measuring or monitoring the control channels

Bergkvist does not disclose a method of measuring or monitoring the control channels during handoff.

However the method of measuring or monitoring the control channels during handoff is taught by Kransmo. Kransmo teaches that it is necessary to monitor the control channel for handoff purposes so that the user can roam seamlessly between cells. See col. 4, lines 19-29.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Kransmo to Bergkvist in order to improve handoff decision and reduce call drop.

2. Claims 2,4,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergkvist [US 5,822,696] in view of Touru [GB 2 333 424 A].

Regarding claims 2,4,6 Bergkvist discloses all the limitations as claimed. However he fails to disclose a method wherein the mobile apparatus further comprises a receiver for receiving a plurality of control channel signals for detection of incoming calls, when the frequency of the comparison selection operation is reduced.

Touru, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of detecting the radio base station even in the waiting state or during telephone conversation. See page 8, lines 13-23.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,056

Art Unit: 2684

performance

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Touru to Bergkvist in order to reduce call drop and thus improve the performance of the system.

Regarding claim 3, Bergkvist further discloses

- A recorder for recording of selection times for each hand-over according to selection result. See col. 5, lines 59-62
- Said receiver receives plurality of control channel signals, which are assigned hand-over ends with higher selection frequency, for detection of incoming calls.
 See col. 5, line 53 col. 6, line 40.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hirose [US 6,064,890] Mobile communication apparatus with improved base station monitoring

Hofmann [US 6,112,066] Mobile radio set and method of determining the reception field strength

Neufeld [US 6,278,703] Method and apparatus for improving neighbor searching

Lundborg [US 6,434,386] Method and system for monitoring power output in transceivers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 703-305-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sujatha Sharma June 15, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER